UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

WESTERN DIVISION

In re ACCREDO HEALTH, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION) Civil Action No. 03-2216-BBD
) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
This Document Relates To:	LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3 PRECLUDING FACT WITNESSES FROM OFFERING IMPERMISSIBLE OPINION TESTIMONY
ALL ACTIONS.	
)

Lead Plaintiffs, Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System and Debra Swiman ("Lead Plaintiffs") and the Class of investors who purchased Accredo stock between June 16, 2002 and April 7, 2003, respectfully move for an Order under Federal Rule of Evidence 701, precluding fact witnesses from offering impermissible opinion testimony.

Federal Rule of Evidence 701 governs the parameters of opinion testimony by fact witnesses:

If the witness is not testifying as an expert, the witness' testimony in the form of opinions or inferences is limited to those opinions or inferences which are (a) rationally based on the perception of the witness, (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness' testimony or the determination of a fact in issue, and (c) not based on scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge within the scope of Rule 702.

Fed. R. Evid. 701.

In *United States v. White*, 492 F.3d 380 (6th Cir. 2007), the Sixth Circuit discussed the distinction between expert and lay opinions and quoted with approval *United States v. Garcia*, 413 F.3d 201, 215 (2d Cir. 2005), where the court observed that "a lay opinion must be the product of reasoning processes familiar to the average person in everyday life." *White*, 492 F.3d at 402. In *White*, the court held that various Medicare auditors could testify based on their personal knowledge of facts relevant to the case, but it was error to allow them to testify and "to apply knowledge and familiarity . . . well beyond that of the average lay person." *Id.* at 403.

Here, Lead Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are liable under §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for materially false and misleading statements and omissions disseminated to the public during the June 16, 2002 through April 7, 2003 Class Period. In particular, Lead Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to comply with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles or properly account for the receivables associated with the SPS acquisition. As a result of these violations, Lead Plaintiffs allege that Accredo's stock price was

artificially inflated during the Class Period and Defendants are liable for compensatory damages

resulting from the purchase of Accredo securities.

As such, this case involves interpretation and application of complex accounting rules

and damage calculations. In some instances, this task requires specific scientific, technical,

accounting and other specialized knowledge. Defendants have indicated their intent to call as

fact witnesses former financial personnel at Accredo, including defendant Joel Kimbrough, the

former Chief Financial Officer, and auditors from both Ernst & Young LLP and Deloitte &

Touche LLP. Defendants did not designate any of these witnesses as expert witnesses and the

deadline for doing so is long past. Accordingly, these witnesses should be precluded from

offering impermissible expert testimony. At trial, lay witnesses are only allowed to testify based

on their personal knowledge of facts relevant to the case, but precluded from applying

knowledge and familiarity beyond that of the average lay person. White, 492 F.3d at 403; Fed.

R. Evid. 701.

Under this rule, to the extent Defendants intend to elicit expert legal, accounting or

economic opinions or conclusions from their fact witnesses, such testimony must be excluded.

See Fed. R. Evid. 701.

Dated: September 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER

& GROSSMANN LLP

s/ Timothy A. DeLange

TIMOTHY A. DeLANGE

BLAIR A. NICHOLAS TIMOTHY A. DeLANGE

BRETT M. MIDDLETON MATTHEW P. JUBENVILLE

2

12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130

Tel: (858) 793-0070 Fax: (858) 793-0323

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
TOR GRONBORG
JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN
DAVID W. MITCHELL
TRIG SMITH
NATHAN W. BEAR
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

Tel: (619) 231-1058 Fax: (619) 231-7423

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System and Debra Swiman

GLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE & WYATT, P.C. B.J. WADE 26 N. Second Street Memphis, TN 38103

Tel: (901) 527-4673 Fax: (901) 521-0904

Liaison Counsel